Defendant's Mailing Address:

ALLYNE R. ROSS, U.S.D

14737 47th STREET - APT. 5J

FEBRUARY 22, 2006

Date

FLUSHING, NEW YORK 11345

Defendant's Residence Address:

(SAME AS ABOVE)

DEPUTY CLERK

Defendant:ANTONIO LOZADA Case Number:CR-05-284(ARR)

Judgment - Page

of

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of forty four (44) months.

<u>XXX</u>	The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE DEFT PARTICIPATE IN THE 500 HOUR PROGRAM ,AS INSOFAR, CONSISTENT WITH REQUEST TO BE DESIGNATED AT THE FACILITY IN FORT DIX.
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district,
	ata.m./p.m. on
	as notified by the Marshal.
	The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons
	before 12:00 noon on
	as notified by the United States Marshal.
	as notified by the Probation Office.
	RETURN
	I have executed this Judgment as follows:
Defer	adant delivered on to at, with a certified copy of this Judgment.
	United States Marshal
	Ву

Defendant: ANTONIO LOZADA Case Number: CR-05-284 (ARR)

Judgment - Page

of

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of three (3) years.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall not commit another Federal, state, or local crime and shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth on the following page). If this judgment imposes a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release. The defendant shall comply with the following additional conditions:

1) Deft shall participate in substance abuse treatment with a treatment provider selected by the probation department. Treatment may include outpatient or residential treatment as determined by the probation department. Deft shall abstain from all illegal substances and/or alcohol. Deft shall contribute to the cost of services rendered via co-payment or full payment in an amount to be determined by the probation department, based upon the deft's ability to pay and/or the availability of third party payment.

2) Deft shall not possess any firearms.

The defendant shall pay any fines that remain unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release.

Defendant:ANTONIO LOZADA Case Number: CR-05-284 (ARR)

Judgment - Page of

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

While the defendant is on probation or supervised release pursuant to this Judgment: 1)

- The defendant shall not commit another Federal, state or local crime;
- the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the 2) 3)
- the defendant shall report to the probation officer as directed by the court or probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within
- the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and 4) follow the instructions of the probation officer; 5)
- the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family 6)
- the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of any 7) change in residence or employment;
- the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, 8) possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance, or any paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a
- the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally 9) sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, 10) and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer; 12)
- the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer; 13)
- the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court; 14)
 - as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification

These conditions are in addition to any other conditions imposed by this

of

Defendant: ANTONIO LOZADA Case Number: CR-05-284 (ARR) Judgment - Page FINE WITH SPECIAL ASSESSMENT The defendant shall pay to the United States the sum of \$ 100.00 ____, consisting of a fine of \$ N/A and a special assessment of \$ 100.00 These amounts are the totals of the fines and assessments imposed on individual counts, as follows: This sum shall be paid ____ immediately _ as follows: XXX The Court has determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay any fines, cost of confinement or supervision. The interest requirement is waived. The interest requirement is modified as follows:

C	ase 1:05-cr-0028 4-ARR.	Document 4	8 Fil	led 03/02/06	Page 6 of 13 PageID #: 3	199		
i						1		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK							
	X							
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :							
2		Plaintiff,	:	GD 05 5				
Ę	-agains		:	CR-05-28		į		
6	agains	3L-	:	United :	States Courthouse			
7			:	Brooklyn	n, New York			
8	ANTONIO LOZADA,		:					
9	E	efendant.	:					
10	~	_	:	February 11:30 a.	7 22, 2006 m.			
11			- X		*			
12		TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLYNE ROSS						
13	7.000	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
	APPEARANCES:							
14	For the Plaintiff: ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF, ESQ. United States Attorney							
15		BY:	: SCC	OTT MORVILLO, ESO.				
16		Ass	BRE istan	NDAN KING, t United S	ESQ. States Attorney			
17	For the Defendant			NICK, ESQ				
18				,				
19	Probation:	BRAI	NDON 1	MAXON				
20								
21	Court Reporter:	FDF1	DEDICI	7 D GUDD-				
22	FREDERICK R. GUERINO, C.S.R. 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York							
23		718-	-330-7	New York 7687				
24	Proceedings	33 .3						
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript							
-5								

```
1
                 THE COURT CLERK: United States of America v.
    2
       Antonio Lozada, Docet No. CR-05-284.
    3
                 (The interpreter, Robert Zaenker, is sworn in at
   4
       this time.)
   5
                Counsel, please state your names for the record.
   6
                MR. MORVILLO: Scott Morvillo and Brendan King for
   7
       the United states
   8
                Good morning, your Honor.
   9
                THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Morvillo.
 10
               MR. NICK: Good morning, your Honor.
 11
               J. David Nick, N-i-c-k, on behalf of Mr. Lozada.
 12
               THE COURT: First, Mr. Nick, thank you for the
      letter clarifying the absence of the relationship between you
 13
 14
      and co-counsel in the case.
 15
               MR. NICK: It is an ongoing situation, your Honor.
      It is a big office that we share.
 16
17
               THE COURT: I understand many of those arrangements.
     I just wanted to be sure.
18
19
              MR. NICK: Thank you, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Nick, I have received correspondence
20
     from you and the government, and I take it you have had a
21
     full opportunity to review everything relating to sentence
22
     with Mr. Lozada; is that correct?
23
24
              MR. NICK: Yes, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Lozada, are you satisfied that you
```

THE COURT: Initially there is a guidelines dispute between the Probation Department and the government as to whether or not Mr. Lozada qualifies for the four-level deduction for minimal role, as recommended in the presentence report, or, as the government argues, whether the real reduction should be limited to three levels.

I do tend to agree with the government that although it is true that the defendant was a guard for stash houses, his position bespoke sufficient involvement and responsibility to warrant the lesser three-level deduction.

The evidence of Mr. Lozada's role in the organization apparently derived from three sources: his arrest in one stash house where certain things were found, his possession of keys to that stash house and another stash house, and his post-arrest statements.

In his post-arrest statements, Mr. Lozada acknowledged that in December of 2004, an individual named Robert Garcia offered him 5- to \$10,000 a month to guard the stash house in which he was arrested.

The defendant said that every Friday over at least - in his post-arrest statement - a three-month period, Garcia telephoneed to tell him that someone would be coming to the apartment to bring narcotics proceeds to be stored in traps in dressers of the apartment, of which the defendant was aware, but on each occasion he was told to and did leave the

apartment where this took place. He also said that he knew that the money was from the sale of drugs, but was not aware of the amount of money and never opened the traps.

He acknowledged that two weeks before his arrest Garcia brought him to a second apartment where Tejada resided, and Garcia told him that the Tejada apartment would be used as another stash house, and that the defendant was to be paid to watch over both stash houses, the one where Tejada slept and the other where Mr. Lozada slept.

So, given evidence that the defendant admitted, over the three-month period he was paid somewhere between 15,000-and \$30,000 to watch over stash houses where narcotics proceeds were stored, that he also knew that weekly, presumably, a total of up to 12 times, if it was for a three-month period, narcotics proceeds were in fact brought to the apartment to be hidden in traps in dressers. And that 15 days before his arrest, Garcia, who the defendant identified as the leader of the organization, gave him responsibility for a second stash house, giving rise at least to an inference that the defendant also had some oversight responsibilities with respect to both Tejada and Lopez. In light of all of that, I cannot say that Mr. Lozada was among the least culpable, but rather that his role was between a minor and minimal one.

Accordingly, a guidelines analysis in my view yields

a level 25, category one, for a range of imprisonment I thought it was 57 to 71 months -- yes.

The defendant has argued for a guidelines departure under 5K2.20, aberrational behavior, but I do not believe the facts of his case warrant a traditional guidelines departure on that ground.

Nor will I rely directly on what defendant has identified as a Restrepo departure under the guidelines.

Nonetheless, I find that a non-guidelines sentence is appropriate under 3553(a). Since, on assessing all of the statutory factors, I conclude that a sentence moderately lower than that yielded by a strict guidelines analysis is sufficient, but no more severe than necessary, to accomplish the goals for sentencing.

Although the government is correct that based on facts known to Mr. Lozada, he should have understood that he was guarding a substantial amount of money for a substantial drug smuggling organization, the evidence of the facts actually known to Mr. Lozada do not, in my estimation, support an inference that he should have known he was guarding amounts approximating three quarters of a million dollars.

In my view, this serves to mitigate somewhat the nature and circumstances of his offense.

Nor is that mitigation in any way offset by an assessment of

the other statutory factors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is Mr. Lozada's first known brush with the law, and he has had a relatively stable employment history. Under all of the circumstances, I believe that a sentence of 44 months is sufficient, but no more severe than necessary to satisfy the goals of sentencing. I believe it appropriately reflects the seriousness of the defendant's conduct and serves the aims of deterrence and just punishment, protection of the public, and promotion and respect for the law.

I would strongly recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that he be placed in the 500-hour program, insofar as it is in any way consistent with that recommendation. If that's not possible or if they aren't consistent, I will recommend Ft. Dix.

So, ultimately, I'm sentencing Mr. Lozada to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 44 months, to be followed by a three-year period of supervised release, with special conditions that he submit to drug testing and participate in substance abuse treatment with a treatment provider selected by the Probation Department. Treatment may include outpatient or residential treatment as determined by the Probation Department.

The defendant shall abstain from all illegal substances and/or alcohol, and contribute to the cost of services rendered by a copayment or full payment in an amount

```
to be determined by the Probation Department based on his
 1
 2
     ability to pay, and/or the availability for a third party
 3
     payment.
 4
              I also prohibit the possession of a firearm.
              I make a finding that the defendant is unable to pay
 5
     a fine, but I will impose the mandatory $100 special
 6
 7
     assessment
 8
              Are there any outstanding counts?
              MR. MORVILLO: Yes, Your Honor. Prior to dismissing
 9
     the outstanding counts, could we have an order of forfeiture
10
     as to the defendant as part of the sentence?
11
12
              THE COURT: Yes.
13
              I'm sorry, did you provide me with that?
              MR. MORVILLO: We provided a preliminary order of
14
                  We are publishing that to the defendant as part
15
16
     of the sentence. We ask the court to order a forfeiture of
17
     that money.
              MR. NICK: I thought there was an order signed?
18
              MR. MORVILLO: There is a preliminary order signed
19
20
              THE COURT: I did sign a preliminary order, and you
    are asking that I sign a final order with respect to this
21
```

MR. MORVILLO: As part of the sentencing.

THE COURT: Yes, I do order forfeiture by the defendant in conformity with the preliminary order of

defendant?

22

23

24

25

forfeiture that I previously signed, and I will dismiss any outstanding counts.

Mr. Lozada, there are circumstances in which a defendant may appeal the sentence. I don't know if it will apply in your case. You discuss that with your attorney. If you choose to appeal, a notice of appeal must be filed within ten days. If you couldn't afford a lawyer, one would be appointed to represent you.

MR. MORVILLO: Thank you, your Honor.

(The sentencing is concluded.)

FREDERICK R. GUERINO, C.S.R. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER